

1
2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 MARY LABRADOR, individually and on) Case No. 08-2270 SC
8 behalf of all others similarly)
9 situated,) ORDER RE:
10 Plaintiff,) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
11 v.) FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL
12 SEATTLE MORTGAGE COMPANY,)
13 Defendant.)
14

15 Before the Court is an Administrative Motion by Defendant
16 Seattle Mortgage Company ("Defendant") to file documents under
17 seal. ECF No. 89 ("Mot."). Defendant seeks to file under seal
18 portions of its Opposition to Plaintiff Mary Labrador's Motion to
19 Certify the Class, as well as a declaration and an exhibit in
20 support of its Opposition. Id. Plaintiff has not opposed this
21 Motion.¹

22 The Court finds that Defendant's Motion complies with Civil
23 Local Rule 79-5 and General Order 62. Defendant has supported its
24 Motion with a declaration candidly discussing why these documents
25

26 ¹ This is Defendant's second motion to file these documents under
27 seal. ECF No. 83. The Court denied Defendant's earlier motion,
28 finding that Defendant had failed to establish that the documents
were entitled to protection under the law and that Defendant's
proposed sealing order was too broad. ECF No. 87. The Court gave
Defendant two days to refile the motion. Id.

1 should be filed under seal. Hassen Decl. ¶¶ 4-5.² The Court also
2 finds the scope of Defendant's proposed sealing order to be
3 narrowly tailored: Defendant seeks to protect some, but not all, of
4 the documents, and it has identified the specific language it seeks
5 to keep confidential by lodging with the Court suggested redacted
6 versions of each document.

7 For these reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendant's Motion. The
8 following documents shall be filed under seal:

- 9 • Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Certify the
10 Class;
11 • The declaration supporting Defendant's Opposition; and
12 • Exhibit B attached to the declaration supporting Defendant's
13 Opposition.

14 Defendant shall publicly e-file versions of the documents with
15 redaction consistent with the redacted versions submitted to the
16 Court. Defendant shall also file under seal unredacted versions of
17 the documents. Due to the nature of the documents, the sealing
18 order shall be temporary: documents shall be sealed for ninety (90)
19 days from the date of this Order, at which point they will be
20 unsealed. Defendant may petition the Court for a continuance of
21 this Order if it believes one is required.

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24
25 Dated: September 7, 2010

26 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27
28 ² Michael Hassen, counsel for Defendant, filed under seal a
declaration in support of the Motion. ECF No. 89-1.